Education as Phenomenon: A Tripartite Model and the Principle of Archesyndesmos

Amir Bile Hussein

Addis Ababa University

Abstract

This work presents a theoretical framework that reconceptualizes education as an emergent phenomenological event, defined by the tripartite interaction of Being, Transmission, and Receiving. This model is catalyzed by the critical point of contact termed *archesyndesmos*. The analysis synthesizes extant philosophical critiques of instrumentalist and reified notions of education, leveraging their valid premises to construct a resolution to longstanding ontological ambiguities. The primary scholarly contributions of this paper are, therefore, the introduction of a precise, phenomenological definition of education as *the transfer of being to the psyche* and the proposition of the foundational concept of *archesyndesmos* as the transformative mechanism underlying this transfer. Developed through a systematic analysis of foundational principles, this framework seeks to reorient discourse toward the dynamic, relational nature of the educational phenomenon itself.

Keywords: philosophy of education, concept, epistemology, phenomenology, archesyndesmos, teaching and learning

Author Note: Amir Bile Hussein, BSc., Department of Education Management.

Table of Contents

Introduction: The Ontological Problem of Defining Education 1

The Tripartite Model: Being, Transmission, and Receiving 2

The Mechanism of Transformation: The Principle of Archesyndesmos 3

Education as relation: From Entity to Emergent Phenomenon 4

Conclusion 5

References 6

Introduction: The Ontological Problem of Defining Education

The common concept of education, across cultures and eras, most frequently equates it with the acquisition of knowledge. This view, while not without a superficial truth, reduces a profound human phenomenon to a mere transaction of content—a student receiving what a teacher gives. It is a definition that is shallow, for it fails to interrogate the fundamental nature of the event itself: what is this "acquisition," and how does it truly occur? This paper argues that this prevalent conception is not merely incomplete but is founded on a categorical error: the reification of education, treating it as a static entity that can be possessed, rather than understanding it as a dynamic phenomenon that must be experienced.

This misidentification is not trivial. The shallow view speaks of "having an education" as if it were a commodity to be acquired and stored. This conceptual reification transforms a living process into a dead object—an entity—obscuring its true nature as an emergent event. This critique extends beyond the instrumentalist view of education as a tool for social ends (Dewey, 1916), which often rightly targets formal systems. Here, the argument is more fundamental: it targets the very ontology of the common concept, which mistakes the outcome of the process (knowledge possessed) for the process itself (coming to know).

It is, in fact, readily apparent that at its most general level, education is not synonymous with the acquisition of knowledge, but is the prior process that makes any acquisition possible. Knowledge is the potential object; education is the actual event of its being understood. The common concept mistakes the end for the means. Furthermore, this core phenomenon is broader than the specific human activities of "teaching" and "learning." These terms describe deliberate, often institutionalized, acts. At its essence, education is a universal phenomenon of transfer and receiving. This is observable beyond humans: a turtle learning balance from a ship or a bird adapting its path receives a transfer from the world. Teaching is a conscious act of transmission; learning is conscious reception. But education is the overarching event that encompasses and requires both, while also occurring without deliberate instruction.

This paper proposes a new framework to resolve this ontological ambiguity. It first deconstructs the fallacy of education-as-entity. It then introduces a tripartite model that identifies the three necessary components for the educational event to

emerge: Being (that which is to be known), Transmission (the means of its presentation), and Receiving (the engagement of a conscious psyche), while also providing a concise definition of education. Finally, it identifies the critical moment of transformation—the archesyndesmos (from ἀρχή, 'beginning,' and σύνδεσμος, 'link')—the point of spark where perception transcends into understanding, where the transfer of being to the psyche is complete. It is the moment Athena is birthed in the mind, not merely handed a weapon.

The Tripartite Model: Being, Transmission, and Receiving

To resolve the ambiguity of the common concept, we must look to the structure of the event itself. Education is not acquisition. At its core, *it is the transfer of being to the psyche*. This transfer is not a simple transaction but a dynamic convergence of three irreducible elements:

1. Being: This is that which is to be known. It is the truth of the world that exists independently of our perception—the nature of the tide, the structure of a tree, the custom of a people. It is what abides, waiting to be understood. It is not knowledge itself, but the source from which knowledge is drawn (Heidegger 1927/1926)

2. Transmission: This is how Being presents itself or is presented. It is the rock that demonstrates hardness, the wave that demonstrates rhythm, the parent who demonstrates a word. It need not be a deliberate act; the world is in a constant state of presenting its truths. Transmission is the medium through which Being is made available.

3. Receiving: This is the act of the psyche—of consciousness—turning toward what is transmitted. It is the perception, the attention, the engagement of a living mind. It is the necessary capacity for the transfer to find its destination.

The common concept of education mistakes a successful instance of Receiving for the entire process. It sees the psyche that has received a truth and calls it "educated," thereby focusing only on the outcome. But this is a profound error, for it ignores the relational event that produced it.

True education is the entire phenomenon. It is the event that emerges only when Being is transmitted and a psyche is present to receive it. Without all three, there is no transfer. A truth (Being) remains dormant if it is not presented (Transmission). A presentation falls silent if there is no one to perceive it (Receiving). Therefore, to be precise, education is the transfer of being to the psyche, and this transfer is constituted by the tripartite interaction of these three elements.

The Mechanism of Transformation: The Principle of Archesyndesmos

If education requires the convergence of Being, Transmission, and Receiving, a critical question remains: where does the transfer actually happen? The transfer of being to the psyche is not automatic; it occurs at a specific point of contact. This paper identifies this point as the archesyndesmos (ἀρχή + σύνδεσμος)—the primal link, the moment of spark where mere perception transcends into understanding.

The archesyndesmos is not a fourth element, but the transformative event that unfolds between the three. It is the phenomenological moment where the Receiving psyche does not merely observe the Transmission of Being but connects with it. This connection is the birth of understanding—the moment the student sees not just the equation, but the logic behind it. This connection is not a single point, but a spectrum of deepening integration. Consider the analogy of a sea turtle in a strong tide: it first perceives a ship, then recognizes its movement, and finally grasps the principle of its balance

This moment is Athena being birthed, fully formed, in the mind. It is not the passive acquisition of a weapon (a fact), but the sudden emergence of the goddess of wisdom herself (understanding). It is the spark that ignites when the psyche actively reaches out to grasp the truth being presented, completing the transfer.

The archesyndesmos is the answer to the shallow definition. The common concept sees only the possession of the weapon—the acquired knowledge—and calls it education. But the true education was the event of the spark, the moment of birth.

It was the transformative act of connection that transferred the essence of the thing—its being—into the consciousness of the knower.

In dialogues like the Meno, Plato presents education as anamnesis, or the recollection of knowledge that the immortal soul already possesses from prior existence (Plato, ca. 380 BCE/1997). For Plato, the teacher's role is to act as an elicitor, helping the student to "remember" innate ideas. While this model shares a focus on a transformative internal event within the psyche, the theories are diametrically opposed in their fundamental mechanics. Plato's process is one of inward recollection, where knowledge is internal and pre-existing. The model proposed here, by contrast, posits an outward reception, where knowledge is transferred from an external, independent reality. The archesyndesmos is not a moment of remembering, but the point of contact where external being is first authentically understood by the conscious mind. Thus, the similarity is merely superficial, concerning the locus of change, while the ontological explanations are entirely distinct.

Education as Relation: From Entity to Emergent Phenomenon

The tripartite model and the principle of archesyndesmos compel a singular conclusion: education cannot be an entity. It is not a thing that can be acquired, possessed, or stored. The common concept, which equates education with acquired knowledge, is therefore founded on a categorical error. It mistakes the outcome of the educational process—the knowledge that resides in the psyche after the event—for the process itself.

This is the critical distinction. Knowledge, once transferred, can be held as a possession. But the transfer of being is not itself a possession; it is an emergent phenomenon—a dynamic event that manifests only under the specific conditions of the tripartite confluence, culminating in the spark of the archesyndesmos.

This phenomenological nature explains why the common concept is shallow yet contains a grain of truth. It correctly identifies that knowledge is the result, but it incorrectly labels that result as "education." This reification obscures the true, relational nature of the event. Education is not the known thing, but the act of coming to know.

Therefore, the question "What is education?" is answered not by pointing to a repository of facts, but by describing an event. Education happens. It exists in the ongoing, relational phenomenon of a consciousness engaging with the manifestation of Being and, in a moment of connection, completing the transfer. This is the necessary shift: from defining education as a static what to understanding it as a dynamic when and how—the moment the transfer of being is achieved.

Conclusion

This paper has argued that the common concept of education as the acquisition of knowledge is a shallow reification that mistakes the outcome for the process. In its place, we have proposed a phenomenological framework. Education is not an entity to be acquired, but an emergent event: the transfer of being to the psyche. This transfer is constituted by the tripartite interaction of Being (that which is known), Transmission (its manifestation), and Receiving (the engagement of consciousness), and it is realized at the point of transformative contact termed the archesyndesmos—the spark where understanding is born. This model resolves the ontological ambiguity by locating education not in a possession, but in the irreducible relational act of coming to know.

References

Heidegger, M. (1962). Being and time (J. Macquarrie & E. Robinson, Trans.). Harper & Row. (Original work published 1927)

Plato. (1997). Meno. (G. M. A. Grube, Trans.). In J. M. Cooper (Ed.), Plato: Complete works. Hackett Publishing Company. (Original work published ca. 380 BCE)

Dewey, J. (1916). Democracy and education: An introduction to the philosophy of education. The Macmillan Company.